

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, or obtaining a copy of the justification, should be submitted to: General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), 18th & F Streets NW., Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0089, Standard Form 1444, Request for Authorization of Additional Classification and Rate, in all correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jack O'Neill, Office of Federal Acquisition Policy, GSA (202) 501-3856.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

This regulation prescribes labor standards for federally financed and assisted construction contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts (DBRA), as well as labor standards for nonconstruction contracts subject to the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (CWHSSA).

The recordkeeping requirements in this regulation, 48 CFR ch. 1, section 22.406, are a restatement of requirements cleared under OMB control numbers 1215-0140, 1215-0149, and 1215-0017 for 29 CFR 5.5(a)(1)(i), 5.5(c), and 5.15 (records to be kept by employers under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 29 CFR 516, which is the basic recordkeeping regulation for all the laws administered by the Wage and Hour Division of the Employment Standards Administration).

48 CFR ch. 1, § 22.406-3, implements the recordkeeping and information collection requirements prescribed in 29 CFR 5.5(a)(1)(iii) cleared under OMB control number 1215-0140 (also prescribed at 48 CFR 22.406 under OMB control number 9000-0089), by providing SF 1444, Request for Authorization of Additional Classification and Rate, for the contractor and the Government to enter the recordkeeping and information collection data required by 29 CFR 5.5(a)(1)(ii) prior to transmitting the data to the Department of Labor.

This SF 1444 places no further burden on the contractor or the Government other than the information collection burdens already cleared by OMB for 29 CFR 5.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

There is no burden placed on the public beyond that prescribed by the Department of Labor regulations.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Total annual responses, *1*; and total response burden hours, *630*.

Dated: October 12, 1995.

Beverly Fayson,

FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 95-25892 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-M

[OMB Control No. 9000-0091]

Request for Public Comments Regarding OMB Clearance Entitled Anti-Kickback Procedures

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000-0091).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Anti-Kickback Procedures. This OMB clearance currently expires on February 28, 1996.

DATES: *Comment Due Date:* December 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, or obtaining a copy of the justification, should be submitted to: General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), 18th & F Streets NW., Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0091, Anti-Kickback Procedures, in all correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ralph De Stefano, Office of Federal Acquisition Policy, GAS (202) 501-1758.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 52.203-7, Anti-Kickback procedures, requires that all contractors have in place and follow reasonable procedures

designed to prevent and detect in its own operations and direct business relationships, violations of section 3 of the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 (41 U.S.C. 51-58). Whenever prime contractors or subcontractors have reasonable grounds to believe that a violation of section 3 of the Act may have occurred, they are required to report the possible violation in writing to the contracting agency or the Department of Justice. The information is used to determine if any violations of section 3 of the Act have occurred.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average *1* hour per completion, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, *2,500*; responses per respondent, *1*; total annual responses, *2,500*; preparation hours per response, *1*; and total response burden hours, *2,500*.

Dated: October 12, 1995.

Beverly Fayson,

FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 95-25893 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-M

[OMB Control No. 9000-0101]

Request for Public Comments Regarding OMB Clearance Entitled Drug-Free Workplace

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000-0101).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Drug-Free Workplace. This OMB clearance currently expires on February 28, 1996.

DATES: *Comment Due Date:* December 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, or obtaining a copy of the justification, should be submitted to: General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), 18th & F Streets NW., Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0101, Drug-Free Workplace, in all correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ralph De Stefano, Office of Federal Acquisition Policy, GSA (202) 501-1758.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Public Law 100-690, the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, mandates that: (1) Government contract employees notify their employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace; and (2) Government contractors, after receiving notice of such conviction, must notify the Government contracting officer. These requirements are effective as of March 18, 1989.

The information provided to the Government will be used to determine contractor compliance with the statutory requirements to maintain a drug-free workplace.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .17 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 600; responses per respondent, 1; total annual responses, 600; preparation hours per response, .17; and total response burden hours, 102.

Dated: October 12, 1995.

Beverly Fayson,
FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 95-25894 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Intent to Grant a Limited Exclusive Patent License

Pursuant to the provisions of Part 404 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, which implements Public Law 96-517,

the Department of the Air Force announces its intention to grant the Massachusetts Institute of Technology an exclusive license under United States Patent Application S/N 08/168,791 filed in the name of Edwin L. Thomas *et al.* for a "Method For Preparing Oriented Polymer Structures and Said Structures."

The license described above will be granted unless an objection thereto, together with a request for an opportunity to be heard, if desired, is received in writing by the addressee set forth below within sixty (60) days from the date of publication of this Notice. Copies of the patent application may be obtained, on request, from the same addressee.

All communications concerning this Notice should be sent to: Mr. Samuel B. Smith, Jr., Chief, Intellectual Property Branch, Commercial Litigation Division, Air Force Legal Services Agency, AFLSA/JACNP, 1501 Wilson Blvd. Suite 805, Arlington, VA 22209-2403, Telephone No. (703) 696-9050.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-25877 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-P

Department of the Army

Report of Comments Received to a Request for Comments on MTMC's Consideration to Employ Full-Service Contracts to Improve the Department of Defense (DOD) Personal Property Program, Published in the Federal Register, Monday, March 13, 1995, Vol. 60, No. 48, Notices, and Again on Wednesday, May 10, 1995, Vol. 60, No. 90, Notices To Extend the Comment Period

AGENCY: Military Traffic Management Command.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Fifty-six responses were received from members of the carrier industry, carrier industry association, and related industries. Headquarters, Military Traffic Management Command wishes to thank all those who took the time to provide thoughtful and beneficial suggestions and comments.

ADDRESSES: Headquarters, Military Traffic Management Command, Attn: MTOP-QE, 5611 Columbia Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-5050.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Joe DeLucia, MTOP-QE, (703) 681-6753 or Ms. Ann Gibson, MTOP-QS, (703) 681-6590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is MTMC's response to the

questions received from the 56 respondents to the Federal Register Notice that solicited comments from industry concerning the reengineering of the DOD personal property program:

Questions and Answers Concerning Re-engineering

1. Why start over from scratch by re-engineering the entire program when many of the objectives could be achieved by making changes to the current system that would be less disruptive?

A. Military Traffic Management Command (MTMC) has discovered several factors that argue decisively against small changes. First, there is widespread opinion among the military services, personal property shipping offices, and the individual service members that the entire system is broken rather than a few elements within that system. Second, the existing system itself is a product of the process of making many isolated changes without considering the total impact. It seems inappropriate to fix a program by the same process that caused it to break down. Third, there is value in boldness. It is often difficult to adjust single elements of the program because of vested interests and the interconnected nature of various provisions. Frequently, good ideas are lost in the negotiation or compromise process. As an alternative, the re-engineering approach offers a process in which the best commercial practices can be combined with Government needs to create a better system for all concerned. Also with the down sizing, the military does not have the infrastructure it once had to support the current program. Although MTMC is committed to re-engineering, that is not to say that the new program won't have some features that are similar to the current system.

2. Are the services sold on the re-engineering program at this point?

A. There is an agreement that a re-engineering of the program is necessary and that we must move toward a simpler, customer satisfaction driven program incorporating commercial business practices.

3. What are the specific goals that MTMC wants to achieve under the re-engineering effort?

A. MTMC has three basic goals. One of the goals is to simplify the personal property program. The second is to maximize the use of commercial practices. The third is to improve customer satisfaction (quality of life for the military member).

4. What specific commercial practices does MTMC want to obtain?